

# Artisan High Income Fund 5-Year Review

A Discussion with Artisan Partners Credit Team portfolio manager Bryan C. Krug, CFA

PORTFOLIO MANAGER  
Viewpoints

March 2019

The Artisan High Income Fund recently celebrated its five-year anniversary. Since its launch on March 19, 2014, the fund's total return (ARTFX) is among the best in its peer group, ranking in the top percentile within the Morningstar High Yield Bond Category. Founding portfolio manager Bryan Krug reflects on the portfolio's last five years and offers insights into the team's differentiated approach.

## Investment Results

(%) as of 30 June 2019	YTD	1 Yr	3 Yr	5 Yr	Inception	Expense Ratios <sup>1</sup>
Investor Class: ARTFX	8.85	5.37	7.60	6.04	6.20	1.00
Advisor Class: APDFX	8.94	5.54	7.78	6.21	6.37	0.83
Institutional Class: APHFX	8.99	5.74	7.76	6.13	6.29	0.75
ICE BofAML US High Yield Master II Index	10.16	7.58	7.54	4.70	4.99	—
Morningstar Percentile Rank—Investor Class <sup>2</sup>		74	11	2	2	
Morningstar Absolute Rank/# of Funds in Category		523/705	57/610	5/524	4/516	

Past performance does not guarantee and is not a reliable indicator of future results. Investment returns and principal values will fluctuate so that an investor's shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Current performance may be lower or higher than that shown. Call 800.344.1770 for current to most recent month-end performance. The performance information shown does not reflect the deduction of a 2% redemption fee on shares held by an investor for 90 days or less and, if reflected, the fee would reduce the performance quoted. Unlike the Index, Artisan High Income Fund may hold loans and other security types. At times, this causes material differences in relative performance.

Source: Artisan Partners/ICE BofAML/Morningstar. Returns for periods less than one year are not annualized. Institutional Class (APHFX) performance is that of the Investor Class from 19 March 2014 through the inception of the Institutional Class on 3 October 2016, and actual Institutional Class performance thereafter. Performance has not been adjusted to reflect the expenses of the Institutional Class for the period prior to the Class's inception, and Institutional Class performance results would differ if such expenses were reflected. <sup>1</sup>Prospectus 30 Sep 2018. See prospectus for more information. <sup>2</sup>% rank based on Morningstar High Yield Bond Category. Morningstar rankings are based on total return. The number of funds in the category may include several classes of the same mutual fund which may have a material impact on the fund's ranking within the category.

## What sets your approach apart from your peers?

There are several aspects that differentiate us from many of our high yield bond peers. In my opinion, our commitment to fundamental research stands out. We take a value investor's approach to the below investment grade market and rely on rigorous fundamental bottom up credit selection. This requires passion and dedication plus a significant amount of time and effort, but this is where we believe we add the most value and ultimately drive investment outcomes. Our analysts take a focused approach to coverage responsibilities with a self-imposed limit of 20 issuers per analyst, which allows for deeper due diligence and a better understanding of an issuer's covenant flexibility. To supplement this research, we use extensive third-party resources such as expert networks and unconventional data sources to either verify or challenge our investment thesis.

Also notable is our belief that a focused, high-conviction portfolio can generate consistent and repeatable outperformance over a full market cycle. Because we dedicate significant time and resources to obtaining a complete picture of a company's fundamentals, we're able to better understand the range of possible outcomes in the credits we invest. As a result, we build a portfolio that allows our highest conviction names to meaningfully drive performance.

Finally, the last element is our flexibility to invest across the capital structure. We have no preconceived allocation targets embedded in our process, and investment decisions are a result of our bottom-up research process. When we evaluate an overall business, we overlay the relative value of the different levels of the capital



Bryan C. Krug, CFA  
Portfolio Manager

18 Years Investment  
Experience



structure, selecting the debt instrument we believe has the best risk-adjusted potential. Taken together, we believe our performance is evidence that this differentiated approach is the right one.

### How do credit ratings factor into your investment philosophy and process?

We are ratings-aware but agnostic. We acknowledge that the marketplace looks to ratings, but we rely on our own in-depth fundamental research to determine the creditworthiness of a given company. For this reason, we generally own fewer commodity-oriented issuers and more higher-multiple businesses, often found in the software, insurance and cable sectors. These businesses often have predictable cash flows, low capital expenditures and unique deleveraging capabilities, but are rarely liked by rating agencies. The difference can partly be attributed to divergent views on recovery: The major ratings agencies, in our view, tend to overemphasize hard assets and underemphasize cash flow generated by assets such as intellectual property and technology.

While the credits we have owned play a key role in our portfolio's performance, it's worth noting the credits we've avoided have been just as important. Many of those hard-asset companies and industries that receive more favorable credit ratings tend to be more economically sensitive—consequently, that's where defaults have been most concentrated over the last five years. As a result, our comparatively light exposure in these areas has been a big contributor to our success.

### The portfolio has shown a unique ability to hold up well in risk-off environments. How have you been able to mitigate risk and protect the downside?

It all comes back to our deep emphasis on self-generated credit research. Having managed through several different market cycles over my career, I've learned often the best way to win is by not losing. As such, the focus on downside analysis is incorporated throughout every step of our process.

We look to manage downside risk through what we believe to be conservative financial projections that account for an issuer's industry position, the competitive dynamics and positioning within the capital structure. Ultimately, we want to make investments that are "default agnostic"—meaning we don't want to enter into a position where the portfolio would experience permanent capital losses in the event of a default.

Similarly, being value-oriented, capital-structure agnostic investors has been a notable element of our downside protection story. Being able to move into more senior positions in the capital structure based on valuations—combined with our emphasis for quality—has allowed our portfolio to weather several difficult market environments over the last five years. This flexibility has resulted in a consistently lower level of volatility relative to the index and peers. Over the last five years, our portfolio has captured roughly 68% of drawdowns while participating in 94% of the market's upside, highlighting our goal of avoiding negative credit events.<sup>3</sup>

### Looking at the market environment when the strategy was launched five years ago, how would you compare and contrast the opportunity set today?

Many of the dynamics that drove credit market returns five years ago are still with us today. When we first launched in March 2014, spreads were tight, yields were low and many thought the credit cycle was in its final innings. The influence central banks had on the credit market was notable as below investment grade bond yields fell below 5% to all-time lows. Looking at our portfolio soon after launch, we had more than 40% of the portfolio in leveraged loans which offered materially better relative value. Five years on, and credit markets have seen several isolated booms and busts—but today's backdrop remains largely the same. Broadly speaking, credit fundamentals remain sturdy and the technical environment favorable, so we expect the current credit cycle to continue and valuations to remain relatively range-bound over the near term.

The biggest difference is the opportunity set has shifted to favor high yield bonds. Today's loan market is marked by more aggressive underwriting and an increase in issuer-friendly terms, limiting the asset class's relative value. This stands in contrast to the bond market which continues to benefit from improving issuance quality and a lack of new supply.

### What are some of the main takeaways as you think about the outlook for the rest of the year and beyond?

Overall, we remain constructive on high yield credit as the fundamental and technical backdrop looks largely similar to years past. We're certainly mindful that we're closer to the end of the cycle than the beginning, but we see little evidence to suggest high yield markets are approaching an inflection point. Defaults are low and fundamentals continue to be favorable. While the economic environment should remain supportive for credit investors in the near term, swings in sentiment are likely as investors price in risks from global macro factors like decelerating global growth and uncertain monetary conditions. With this in mind, we believe our disciplined underwriting process and ability to capitalize on market inefficiencies by way of individual security selection is increasingly critical and should serve us well as we enter into the latter stages of the current cycle.

Going forward, the risk/reward profile still looks favorable in our view when compared to other fixed income alternatives—namely, investment grade and emerging market debt. And in the context of risk-adjusted returns, it's important noting that both leveraged loans and high yield bonds are historically less volatile and experience less dramatic drawdowns than equities. Past performance is not indicative of future results, but we believe this reinforces our view that not only does high yield credit warrant a permanent allocation in portfolios, it is an attractive asset class on a relative basis versus other investment alternatives in the current market environment.

---

<sup>3</sup>Source: FactSet. As of 30 Jun 2019. Past performance is not indicative of futures results. Based on monthly returns for the Investor Class (ARTFX) beginning with April 2014, the first full month following inception relative to the ICE BofAML US High Yield Index.

---

For more information: Visit [www.artisanpartners.com](http://www.artisanpartners.com) | Call 800.344.1770

---

Carefully consider the Fund's investment objective, risks and charges and expenses. This and other important information is contained in the Fund's prospectus and summary prospectus, which can be obtained by calling 800.344.1770. Read carefully before investing.

Fixed income securities carry interest rate risk and credit risk for both the issuer and counterparty and investors may lose principal value. In general, when interest rates rise, fixed income values fall. High income securities (junk bonds) are speculative, experience greater price volatility and have a higher degree of credit and liquidity risk than bonds with a higher credit rating. The portfolio typically invests a significant portion of its assets in lower-rated high income securities (e.g., CCC). Loans carry risks including insolvency of the borrower, lending bank or other intermediary. Loans may be secured, unsecured, or not fully collateralized, trade infrequently, experience delayed settlement, and subject to resale restrictions. Private placement and restricted securities may not be easily sold due to resale restrictions and are more difficult to value. The use of derivatives in a portfolio may create investment leverage and increase the likelihood of volatility and risk of loss in excess of the amount invested. International investments involve special risks, including currency fluctuation, lower liquidity, different accounting methods and economic and political systems, and higher transaction costs. These risks typically are greater in emerging markets.

This summary represents the views of the portfolio manager as of 31 March 2019 and is subject to change without notice. While the information contained herein is believed to be reliable, there is no guarantee as to the accuracy or completeness of any statement in the discussion.

This material is provided for informational purposes without regard to your particular investment needs. This material shall not be construed as investment or tax advice on which you may rely for your investment decisions. Investors should consult their financial and tax adviser before making investments in order to determine the appropriateness of any investment product discussed herein.

ICE BofAML US High Yield Master II Index measures the performance of below investment grade \$US-denominated corporate bonds publicly issued in the US market. The index(es) are unmanaged; include net reinvested dividends; do not reflect fees or expenses; and are not available for direct investment.

Source ICE Data Indices, LLC, used with permission. ICE Data Indices, LLC permits use of the ICE BofAML indices and related data on an "as is" basis, makes no warranties regarding same, does not guarantee the suitability, quality, accuracy, timeliness, and/or completeness of the ICE BofAML indices or any data included in, related to, or derived therefrom, assumes no liability in connection with the use of the foregoing, and does not sponsor, endorse, or recommend Artisan Partners or any of its products or services. Morningstar High Yield Bond Category is comprised of portfolios primarily invested in U.S. high-income debt securities where at least 65% or more of bond assets are not rated or are rated by a major agency such as Standard & Poor's or Moody's at the level of BB (considered speculative for taxable bonds) and below.

Morningstar data ©2019, Morningstar, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Morningstar data contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its content providers; (2) may not be copied or distributed; and (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information.

**Below investment grade bonds** are bonds with a credit rating below investment grade (Baa3 or BBB-), as judged by the bond ratings assigned by one of the major rating agencies: Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) and Standard & Poor's. The ratings are the opinion of the agency. **Covenant** is a condition in a bond issue that requires the borrower to fulfill certain conditions or which forbids the borrower from undertaking certain actions, or which possibly restricts certain activities to circumstances when other conditions are met. **Upside Capture Ratio** is used to evaluate how well a portfolio manager performs relative to an index during periods when that index has risen. **Downside Capture Ratio** is used to evaluate how well or poorly a portfolio manager performs relative to an index during periods when the index has dropped.

Artisan Partners Funds offered through Artisan Partners Distributors LLC (APDLLC), member FINRA. APDLLC is a wholly owned broker/dealer subsidiary of Artisan Partners Holdings LP. Artisan Partners Limited Partnership, an investment advisory firm and adviser to Artisan Partners Funds, is wholly owned by Artisan Partners Holdings LP.

© 2019 Artisan Partners. All rights reserved.

A R T I S A N



P A R T N E R S