

A Systematic Approach to Differentiation

A Discussion with Artisan Partners Thematic Team portfolio manager Christopher Smith

PORTFOLIO MANAGER
Viewpoints

October 2018

What is your process for identifying opportunities for alpha?

To start, we believe stocks with the highest alpha-generation potential are ones for which we can apply differentiated one- to three-year views versus the street across two key metrics: 1) a company's earnings power and 2) the multiple paid for a business. We believe differentiation has the greatest opportunity to be uncovered by identifying multi-year inflection points, as they are often initially misunderstood by the market.

Our research process is designed to objectively source inflection points in thematic trends and company-specific business models. From a thematic standpoint, these inflections are often driven by secular, structural or cyclical forces. From a company-specific standpoint, inflections are often the residual of thematic triggers, but can also be caused by idiosyncratic factors, such as changes in management, business models and/or societal behaviors.

Our bottom-up research allows us to develop a variant perspective on the core growth rate, earnings power and return on invested capital (ROIC) / return on incremental invested capital (ROIIC) of the companies and industries exposed to these inflections. We strive to objectively evaluate these variables by forecasting a company's fundamentals and building a five-year earnings model.

Taking a multi-year variant view on sustainable earnings power is a key source of potential alpha generation. From our perspective, earnings-power differentiation is most impactful when it's top-line driven; however, sustainable margin-growth differentiation can also be a powerful alpha-generation source. We are trying to understand whether growth rates may be accelerating over the next one to three years, versus growth that may be above consensus estimates, but decelerating. In our opinion, the investment community's view of sustainable growth rates matters significantly for the multiple paid for a business, which is why we focus so much on acceleration versus deceleration.

Why have you chosen to focus on return on invested capital in understanding a company's earnings power trajectory?

We believe investors don't spend enough time forecasting the sustainability of ROIC and the resulting potential for multiple expansion/compression. In our view, the ROIC trajectory is as powerful as—if not more so than—earnings power differentiation. We didn't arrive at this conclusion on instinct alone. Our historic observations have taught us that the multiple paid for a company is most correlated with the company's ROIC along with that ROIC's sustainability and the company's cost of capital. As the ROIC of a business increases over time, the multiple paid for that business should increase as well.

For this reason, we focus much of our efforts on understanding a company's ROIC trajectory. By diving into the ROIIC characteristics of a business, we can better assess the ROIC sustainability. The five-year horizons of our models allow us to evaluate the quality and sustainability of our investments' growth rates and return profiles. The combination of fundamental earnings power differentiation and a changing/sustainable ROIC trajectory creates an ideal candidate for our portfolio.



Christopher Smith
Portfolio Manager

16 Years Investment
Experience




How do you build objectivity and repeatability into your process?

To create the highest probability of success, we try to be as objective as possible. We attempt to eliminate as many of those subjective variables that can creep in—analyst/PM communication, stock performance relative to your fundamental analysis, conviction level, fear of being wrong, thesis creep—and allow our industry and company-specific research to be maximized.

To do this, we build our multi-year business models based on the variable we have determined is the biggest driver of each company's differentiation—this could be revenue (pricing/units/billings), margins or earnings. Once we have determined the driver, we don't want to change it (e.g., going from revenue to EPS), as that can lend itself to thesis creep and subjectivity. Also, our experience has taught us that "moving down the income statement" (from revenue or margins to EPS or some other metric) can mean that our idea has become over-owned/crowded, and our thesis has primarily played out.

Exhibit 1: Systematic Analytical Framework—Differentiated Views (%)




This hypothetical example is provided for illustrative purposes only and is not intended to constitute a past specific recommendation or reflect a past or current holding. The stylized example is intended to convey a high-level overview of the analysis performed in connection with the team's research process, and the type of information that is considered in that analysis. The information contained herein represents a simplified presentation of a complex process. The investment process is subject to change and may differ materially from what is stated herein.

Naturally, the majority of investment opportunities have more than one true variable that can determine whether alpha will be created. We believe our focus on one key variable allows for clarity and maximum utilization of our time and research process. As important, we look at the delta in our views versus Street estimates on a multi-year basis (as seen in Exhibit 1), as that forces us to discuss whether our fundamental analysis is truly focused on structural business-model changes, rather than shorter-term fluctuations in trends that don't warrant longer-term changes in the ROIC and multiple paid for the business.

Veeva Systems is a good example of how this process plays out. In Exhibit 2, you can see how our internal revenue differentiation for Veeva for FY 2018 and FY 2019 tracked since the beginning of 2017. We initially thought that Veeva's revenue would be ~6% higher than consensus forecasts for FY 2018 and ~9% higher for FY 2019. Through 2017, our thesis around Veeva's new product launches creating higher revenue growth and expanding Veeva's overall TAM (total addressable market) began to play out, and our differentiation to consensus narrowed. Veeva reported its FY 2018 Q4 earnings results in February, which validated our initial FY 2018 revenue forecasts. As a result, our differentiation went to ~0% for FY 2018 and only 2% for FY 2019, as consensus modeled higher revenue opportunities from Veeva's new products.

Exhibit 2: Tracking Differentiation Over Time



Source: Artisan Partners/Bloomberg. Thematic team estimates for FY 2018 and FY 2019 revenue reported by Veeva Systems versus analyst consensus estimates are provided for illustrative purposes only. Estimations of revenue reporting are not exact and the results for Veeva are not representative of all securities researched or held within the portfolio.

Given this visualization, it was clear that our current internal view on Veeva's earnings power was not significantly differentiated from consensus views. In this scenario, we would rotate the capital into other names we believed have more differentiation and valuation upside. The visualizations—namely, our focus on new product offerings driving higher revenue and long-term TAM opportunities—allowed communications on the name to be clear and objective, including when to size up, reduce or exit the position.

We track this type of data on all the names we cover, whether we are invested in the name or not. Over time, we will use the data to see how effective we are at forecasting differentiation, how much we change our estimates and what our biases and weaknesses might be. For example, there may be a certain industry we shouldn't cover anymore because we haven't been able to consistently create research that allows for significant, consistent differentiation. This should also help us continually improve our overall research process, making the team more effective over time.

How do you think about portfolio construction and the role it plays in risk management?

Our portfolio construction does not follow a linear path, but rather is an ongoing cycle whereby we continuously work to ensure the portfolio maximizes our chances for investment success. While our investment process is rooted in bottom-up research, we recognize that certain risks can emerge when seemingly independent risks are aggregated at the portfolio level. Left unchecked, these hidden risks can have a significant impact, either positive or negative, on portfolio risk and return. Our processes help us identify these risks and allow us to construct a portfolio that aligns with our fundamental views.

As part of our research process, we determine our expected valuation upside for each security within our coverage universe. We then put our estimates into a custom-built Black-Litterman portfolio optimizer. The optimizer is based on the historical returns and correlations of each security, but the expected returns are based on our fundamental, bottom-up work. This optimization routine corrects for the limitations of traditional portfolio optimizers by incorporating our forward-looking return estimates, rather than simply extrapolating past returns into the future. Like traditional mean-variance optimizers, the model produces an efficient frontier, but this frontier is rooted in our fundamental research.

The result is a valuable tool that allows us to target a desired level of risk and upside potential in order to maximize the portfolio's Sharpe ratio. Additionally, and perhaps more importantly, this tool helps us maintain objectivity around capital allocation across securities and themes. While we are not tethered to these recommendations, this approach provides a reasonable starting point for our discussions.

One key benefit of the optimizer is the premium it places on low/negatively correlated securities. While it is natural to size positions based purely on our estimates of their expected outcome, the optimizer may suggest we size-up lower correlation assets in order to enhance the risk-adjusted returns of the overall portfolio. For example, we may hold a company that has a lower upside estimate than other holdings if it has lower correlation to other holdings and themes. That would allow us to hold bigger positions in those names which do have higher upside based on our research. By balancing these names and correlations, we aim to construct a more resilient portfolio that is less dependent on a narrow set of market circumstances. Rather than simply investing in a collection of names we like, our goal is to construct a cohesive portfolio where we understand how each position is expected to interact with the others.

To further improve the portfolio efficiency, we combine this systematic process with discretionary inputs that are not accounted for by the optimizer. We incorporate many forward-looking inputs including implied volatility, differentiation, potential catalysts and crowding. This helps ensure that position sizes reflect a more complete set of variables that ultimately impact portfolio returns. For example, if we find that a security is crowded and we don't have much differentiation, we may diverge from the optimized position weights and reduce our exposure accordingly.

In addition to portfolio optimization, we seek to understand the underlying drivers of portfolio risk and return by decomposing each security into factor exposures. This helps us understand how each security may act in different market environments and illustrates the impact it may have on the overall portfolio. This also enables us to shock the portfolio with stress tests and scenario analyses, and it helps us identify any unintended risks.

We also regularly monitor factor trends to help us avoid and potentially profit from painful factor unwinds. For example, Exhibit 3 shows the cumulative returns of the growth vs. value factor over time. The upper and lower dashed lines represent two standard deviations above and below the long-term trend. Statistically, the cumulative return line should fall between the two bands 95% of the time. Therefore, when the cumulative return line approaches the upper or lower two standard deviation band, it is a strong indication that the prevailing factor trend may reverse. We use this tool to track numerous factor and macro trends, which has helped us successfully navigate several factor events.

Exhibit 3: Identifying Factor Risks



Source: Artisan Partners/S&P. Factor returns are represented by the S&P 500 Growth (SGX) and Value Stock (SVX) Indexes. **Past performance does not guarantee and is not a reliable indicator of future results.**

Options can provide a critical role in both portfolio construction and risk management. We are active participants in the options market and seek to harvest disparities between implied volatility and future realized volatility. We use options both offensively and defensively in an attempt to enhance return and to protect against the downside. Moreover, we aim to use options that reflect our fundamental views and align with our add/sell/trim prices on single-name securities.

For more information: Visit www.artisanpartners.com

Investment Risks: A non-diversified portfolio may invest a larger portion of assets in securities of a smaller number of issuers and performance of a single issuer may affect overall portfolio performance greater than in a diversified portfolio. The portfolio's use of derivative instruments may create additional leverage and involve risks different from, or greater than, the risks associated with investing in more traditional investments. High portfolio turnover may adversely affect returns due to increased transaction costs and creation of additional tax consequences. Securities of small- and medium-sized companies tend to have a shorter history of operations, be more volatile and less liquid and may have underperformed securities of large companies during some periods. International investments involve special risks, including currency fluctuation, lower liquidity, different accounting methods and economic and political systems, and higher transaction costs. These risks typically are greater in emerging markets. Investors investing in strategies denominated in non-local currency should be aware of the risk of currency exchange fluctuations that may cause a loss of principal. These risks, among others, are further described in Artisan Partners Form ADV, which is available upon request.

Unless otherwise indicated, the Artisan Strategy characteristics relate to that of an investment composite or a representative account managed within a composite. It is intended to provide a general illustration of the investment strategy and considerations used by Artisan Partners in managing that strategy. Individual accounts may differ, at times significantly, from the reference data shown due to varying account restrictions, fees and expenses, and since-inception time periods, among others. Where applicable, this information is supplemental to, and not to be construed with, a current or prospective client's investment account information.

This commentary represents the views of the manager as of 30 Sep 2018 and do not necessarily represent those of Artisan Partners. The views and opinions expressed are based on current market conditions, which will fluctuate and those views are subject to change without notice. While the information contained herein is believed to be reliable, there is no guarantee to the accuracy or completeness of any statement in the discussion. Any forecasts contained herein are for illustrative purposes only and are not to be relied upon as advice or interpreted as a recommendation.

S&P 500[®] Index measures the performance of 500 US companies focused on the large-cap sector of the market. The index(es) are unmanaged; include net reinvested dividends; do not reflect fees or expenses; and are not available for direct investment. S&P 500[®] Growth Index measures the performance of growth companies in the S&P 500[®] Index. S&P 500[®] Value Index measures the performance of value companies in the S&P 500[®] Index.

The S&P 500[®] ("Index") is a product of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC ("S&P DJI") and/or its affiliates and has been licensed for use. Copyright © 2018 S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, a division of S&P Global, Inc. All rights reserved. Redistribution or reproduction in whole or in part are prohibited without written permission of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. S&P[®] is a registered trademark of S&P Global and Dow Jones[®] is a registered trademark of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC ("Dow Jones"). None of S&P DJI, Dow Jones, their affiliates or third party licensors makes any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the ability of any index to accurately represent the asset class or market sector that it purports to represent and none shall have any liability for any errors, omissions, or interruptions of any index or the data included therein.

This material is provided for informational purposes without regard to your particular investment needs. This material shall not be construed as investment or tax advice on which you may rely for your investment decisions. Investors should consult their financial and tax adviser before making investments in order to determine the appropriateness of any investment product discussed herein. In no event shall Artisan Partners have any liability for direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, lost profits) losses or any other damages resulting from the use of this material.

Artisan Partners Limited Partnership (APLP) is an investment adviser registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Artisan Partners UK LLP (APUK) is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and is a registered investment adviser with the SEC. APEL Financial Distribution Services Limited (AP Europe) is regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland. APLP, APUK and AP Europe are collectively, with their parent company and affiliates, referred to as Artisan Partners herein.

Artisan Partners is not registered, authorized or eligible for an exemption from registration in all jurisdictions. Therefore, services described herein may not be available in certain jurisdictions. This material does not constitute an offer or solicitation where such actions are not authorized or lawful. Further limitations on the availability of products or services described herein may be imposed.

In no event shall Artisan Partners have any liability for direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, lost profits) losses or any other damages resulting from the use of this material.

This material is only intended for investors which meet qualifications as institutional investors as defined in the applicable jurisdiction where this material is received, which includes only *Professional Clients* or *Eligible Counterparties* as defined by the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID) where this material is issued by APUK or AP Europe. This material is not for use by retail investors and may not be reproduced or distributed without Artisan Partners' permission.

In the United Kingdom, issued by APUK, 25 St. James's St., Floor 3, London SW1A 1HA, registered in England and Wales (LLP No. OC351201). Registered office: Reading Bridge House, Floor 4, George St., Reading, Berkshire RG1 8LS. In Ireland, issued by AP Europe. Location and registered office: Fitzwilliam Hall, Fitzwilliam Pl, Ste. 202, Dublin 2, D02 T292 (Company No. 637966).

Australia: This material is directed at wholesale clients only and is not intended for, or to be relied upon by, private individuals or retail investors. Artisan Partners Australia Pty Ltd is a representative of APLP (ARBN 153 777 292) and APUK (ARBN 603 522 649). APLP and APUK are respectively regulated under US and UK laws which differ from Australian laws and are exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license under the Australian Corporations Act 2001 in respect to financial services provided in Australia.

Bailiwick of Guernsey: The financial services referred to in this material and this document are not being made available in the Bailiwick of Guernsey (Guernsey) to more than 50 persons in Guernsey and the financial services may not be accepted by more than 50 persons in Guernsey.

Canada: This material is distributed in Canada by APLP and/or Artisan Partners Distributors LLC, which conduct activities in Canada under exemptions from the dealer, portfolio manager and investment fund manager registration requirements of applicable Canadian securities laws. This material does not constitute an offer of services in circumstances where such exemptions are not available. APLP advisory services are available only to investors that qualify as "permitted clients" under applicable Canadian securities laws.

© 2019 Artisan Partners. All rights reserved.

For Institutional Investors Only—Not for Onward Distribution

A R T I S A N



P A R T N E R S