



Artisan Developing World Fund

QUARTERLY
Commentary

Investor Class: ARTYX | Advisor Class: APDYX | Institutional Class: APHYX

As of 31 March 2022



Portfolio Management
Lewis S. Kaufman, CFA

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

Market Backdrop

Artisan Developing World Fund (Investor Class) returned -19.39% for the quarter ended March 31, 2022, versus -6.97% for the MSCI Emerging Markets Index (all returns in USD unless stated otherwise). Since June 30, 2015, Artisan Developing World Fund has returned 108.34% cumulatively, versus 38.76% for the MSCI Emerging Markets Index. Asset price movements during the quarter were dominated by changes in inflation expectations, even before Russia's invasion of Ukraine. Ten-year US Treasury yields increased 69bps during the quarter to 2.32%, and US 10-year inflation breakeven increased 24bps to 2.60%. The Federal Reserve accelerated policy normalization efforts, and market participants digested the possibility of policy rate hikes in 50bps increments. The European Central Bank also signaled willingness to temper policy accommodation sooner than expected. The market continued to disavow itself of long-duration assets (i.e., technology stocks and long-term bonds), while emphasizing energy (Brent +37.1%) and commodities (MSCI EM Materials +3.3%). Reflecting this dynamic, there was wide divergence in emerging market performance by country. Commodity exporters including Brazil (+35.9%), UAE (+21.2%), South Africa (+20.3%), Saudi Arabia (+17.4%) and Indonesia (+9.6%) all produced positive returns. China was the worst performing major market (-14.2%) reflecting domestic economic weakness, the country's zero-COVID policy and tensions with the West. In particular, China's "no limits" partnership with Russia introduced during the Olympic games led investors to question the nature of the support China might be willing to provide Russia. Korea (-9.6%) and Taiwan (-6.6%) also declined during the quarter. It should be noted that Russia was removed from the MSCI Emerging Markets Index, following market closures and the near-complete erosion of equity value in the country.

Contributors and Detractors

Top contributors to performance included endpoint security company CrowdStrike, online travel marketplace Airbnb, graphics semiconductor company Nvidia, electronic payments network Visa and Latin American marketplace MercadoLibre. CrowdStrike rose as Russia's invasion of Ukraine led to increased focus on enterprise security, and as quarterly results highlighted new wins and expanded customer relationships. Airbnb benefited from reduced border restrictions and a surge in summer bookings, while near-term business development continues to be supported by resilient demand for long-term accommodations. Nvidia benefited from continued data center momentum and resilient gaming demand and used its developer conference in March to highlight new product architectures and the company's long-term software revenue potential. Visa benefited from global reopening trends (including recovery in cross-border travel), improvement in credit card volumes and continued development of new payment

streams. MercadoLibre benefited from resilient demand in its e-commerce business and significant progress in its lending and payments initiatives.

Bottom contributors to performance included ASEAN gaming and e-commerce company Sea, Chinese local services company Meituan, Chinese video streaming platform Bilibili, Chinese health care services company Wuxi Biologic, and Russian Internet company Yandex. Sea declined due to weak gaming guidance and a ban of the company's most popular game in India (which likely reflected continued tension between India and China), and from global reopening pressure on the gaming industry. Meituan declined due to the emergence of the omicron variant in China which could pressure food delivery demand, as well as fears that regulatory proposals to support "services industries" could impact profitability. Bilibili declined due to continued concerns on content regulation, low visibility into resumed gaming approvals, and a weaker ad backdrop. Wuxi declined after the company was added to the US "Unverified List," after being unable to complete end-use checks of imported filters and bioreactors. Yandex was negatively affected by financial sanctions on Russia, and the company's shares were halted which may render Yandex in default of a convertible bond clause.

Market Outlook

Domestic demand in the emerging markets is rooted in low penetration, attractive demographics and rapid capital formation. Low penetration is still a feature of many emerging markets, yet much of this domestic demand potential remains relatively dormant as visible in declining potential output. For example, ten years ago, economists might have estimated Brazil's potential output at 4.5% and India's at 8.5%. Today, those estimates might be 2.0% and 6.0%, respectively. One reason for this decline in potential output is that demographics are less compelling than they seem. Specifically, many emerging markets have been unable to produce the skilled workers that would allow their countries to move up the value chain, because they have been slow to invest in education and human capital (even in periods of high commodity prices such as this one). Thus, while foreign capital may come to emerging markets to support low-cost production or capture latent domestic demand, it has little incentive or ability to develop truly innovative businesses in such countries. For example, Thailand continues to receive investment in the electronics supply chain but has generally been unable to translate these gains into better jobs higher up the value chain. Moreover, foreign capital has largely had a poor experience in emerging markets. Russia is perhaps the most glaring example of this dynamic, beginning with Yukos in 2003 and punctuated by this quarter's unfortunate events. A more subtle example occurred in February when the mobile application for Sea's burgeoning online gaming business was banned by India's regulators, which may have prompted Sea to withdraw from its e-commerce investments weeks later. Had it stayed, Sea might also have developed payments assets, or created jobs and new ecosystems for innovation in India. Other examples include referendums against a brewery and an airport concession in Mexico, suspensions of mining production in Peru and retroactive tax bills in India. Moreover, since emerging markets are increasingly indebted (in part due to budget increases in response COVID-19),

they increasingly lack their own domestic engines for capital formation. What we are describing is a recipe for challenged skilled labor formation, elusive capital formation, waning productivity and falling potential output. Emerging markets may be optimally embraced for what they are not (i.e., relatively immune to global economic and political developments) rather than what they are. Alternatively, we can capture latent domestic demand in the emerging markets with businesses that have innovative and aspirational products developed abroad, or with scalable businesses domestically.

One notable exception is China, which nominally has a reservoir of skilled labor (despite an aging population) and excellent capacity for domestic capital formation. Despite these capabilities, China equity markets have experienced substantial declines in recent quarters. While there are many factors at play (low fiscal impetus, domestic regulation, zero-COVID policy, China's relationship with Russia, etc.), each is arguably rooted in deteriorating external relations with the West. For example, China has pursued debt sustainability at the expense of economic growth to ensure manageable levels of overall indebtedness and external liability, should relations with the West deteriorate further. Similarly, given the high transmissibility of the omicron variant, China's zero-COVID policy can perhaps be best understood through a desire to insulate the country from dependence on Western medicine. These and similar developments become long-term economic events when they affect the pace and composition of capital formation. Growth in total social finance (or TSF, an aggregate measure of credit growth) is relatively unchanged, suggesting a persistent capital formation capability. However, domestic regulation could lead to capital allocation that is less aligned to innovation and productivity, and that is better suited to government objectives. A resumption in the IPO pipeline of innovative Chinese companies, as distinct from an acceleration in TSF growth, might be an indication that productivity-enhancing domestic capital formation is again vibrant. It should be noted that China continues to offer attractive, if reduced, levels of potential output, in a global and emerging markets context.

Portfolio Positioning

We have often stated our desire to enhance value in periods of chaos. Ideally, we do so by leveraging value pathways, including correlations. In extreme market environments, correlations may be too high to enhance value in this manner. We also rely on a concept called Flexion, which we define as the ability to deemphasize investments while preserving value pathways, to enhance portfolio outcomes. For example, Farfetch is a company with a strategic position in the luxury goods market that is struggling to establish scale despite high take rates in its core marketplace business. As markets deteriorated and correlations increased, we used Farfetch to gradually fund more scalable investments such as CrowdStrike, Unity and Snap. Gradual rather than immediate sales preserve residual positions and ultimately value pathways, since residuals balance the urgency of a deteriorating business assessment against the integrity of portfolio outcomes (including the possibility that your business assessment is wrong). We did not make our business model assessment about Farfetch in a day; we built conviction in it and expressed that conviction gradually. In China, we have similarly

had the reinvestment set to address deterioration in China's external environment while preserving portfolio outcomes. In practice, that might mean trimming Bilibili marginally at a depressed vintage (and moment of high tax efficiency) to fund Sea, which in our judgment has commensurate upside and significantly lower policy risk. However, Flexion also allows us to preserve value pathways in China (i.e., a residual Bilibili position can reflate) in a way that a more binary approach might not. In fact, Flexion provides pathways to both higher and lower weights. In this way, Flexion is a tool for addressing deterioration in a corporate, economic or geopolitical variable, in a way that preserves the integrity of portfolio outcomes.

We also wish to underscore that value is often assumed to be static. For truly scalable companies, value creation can occur quickly and disproportionately. This potential is the reason we have identified investments with one or more dimensions of scalability. Thus, even as the investment world migrates to today's investment fashions, value continues to accrue to a disproportionate few. For example, Marriott is perhaps the preeminent hospitality company in the world with over 30 well-recognized hotel brands. Marriott is projected to generate \$2.7bn in CY22 operating profit, growing to \$4.8bn in CY26. Airbnb is a relative newcomer but a highly scalable company. It has a natural liability engine where hosts are drawn to the platform by word of mouth. Revenues can accrue with little incremental cost (i.e., single scalable platform). The company can serve hosts and customers all over the world with marginal local investment (i.e., borderless scale). As a result, operating profit is projected to increase from \$1.3bn in CY22 to \$4.7bn in CY25. That progression highlights the scale of the value creation at Airbnb. Similarly, KFC and Pizza Hut operator Yum China is projected to have operating profit of \$903mn in CY22, growing to \$2.7bn by CY26. By comparison, Meituan is projected to have \$4.5bn in operating profit in its food delivery business alone in CY26 (off a CY22 base of \$1.1bn), plus an additional \$5.7bn in operating profits from its local services operations. We would also note that scalability is the reason inflationary inputs and pricing power are not really relevant for most of our investments: if revenue generation occurs quickly and with proper margins, the inherent operating leverage can easily absorb inflationary inputs. At times, significant and sustained value creation can converge with price declines to create good long-term entry points in moments of chaos.

We thank you for your trust and confidence.

Investment Process

We seek to build, preserve and enhance a stream of compounded business value. We define this emphasis as follows:

Build: Pair low penetration domestic demand with scalable and enduring businesses that are able to drive value creation and disproportionate outcomes.

Preserve: Preserve value creation and establish a forward-looking construct for managing risk.

Enhance: Leverage risk pathways to enhance long-term value creation.

Investment Results (%)

As of 31 March 2022	Average Annual Total Returns						
	QTD	YTD	1 Yr	3 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	Inception ¹
Investor Class: ARTYX	-19.39	-19.39	-25.45	14.94	13.70	—	11.48
Advisor Class: APDYX	-19.38	-19.38	-25.33	15.12	13.89	—	11.68
Institutional Class: APHYX	-19.32	-19.32	-25.25	15.25	14.00	—	11.79
MSCI Emerging Markets Index	-6.97	-6.97	-11.37	4.94	5.98	—	4.97

Source: Artisan Partners/MSCI. Returns for periods less than one year are not annualized. ¹Fund inception: 29 June 2015.

Expense Ratios	ARTYX	APDYX	APHYX
Annual Report 30 Sep 2021	1.26	1.09	1.00
Prospectus 30 Sep 2021 ¹	1.26	1.09	1.00

¹See prospectus for further details.

Past performance does not guarantee and is not a reliable indicator of future results. Investment returns and principal values will fluctuate so that an investor's shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Current performance may be lower or higher than that shown. Call 800.344.1770 for current to most recent month-end performance. Performance may reflect agreements to limit a Fund's expenses, which would reduce performance if not in effect.

For more information: Visit www.artisanpartners.com | Call 800.344.1770

Carefully consider the Fund's investment objective, risks and charges and expenses. This and other important information is contained in the Fund's prospectus and summary prospectus, which can be obtained by calling 800.344.1770. Read carefully before investing.

Current and future portfolio holdings are subject to risk. The value of portfolio securities selected by the investment team may rise or fall in response to company, market, economic, political, regulatory or other news, at times greater than the market or benchmark index. International investments involve special risks, including currency fluctuation, lower liquidity, different accounting methods and economic and political systems, and higher transaction costs. These risks typically are greater in emerging markets. Such risks include new and rapidly changing political and economic structures, which may cause instability; underdeveloped securities markets; and higher likelihood of high levels of inflation, deflation or currency devaluations. Securities of small- and medium-sized companies tend to have a shorter history of operations, be more volatile and less liquid and may have underperformed securities of large companies during some periods.

Top absolute contributors to performance included CrowdStrike, Airbnb, Nvidia, Visa and Mastercard.

MSCI Emerging Markets Index measures the performance of emerging markets. The MSCI Emerging Markets Materials Index includes large and mid-cap companies in the materials sector across emerging markets countries. Brent return reflects the performance of the Brent Crude June 2022 futures. Emerging markets returns and country-specific index returns are in USD unless otherwise stated. All single country returns are net returns based on MSCI country indices. The index(es) are unmanaged; include net reinvested dividends; do not reflect fees or expenses; and are not available for direct investment.

The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS[®]) is the exclusive intellectual property of MSCI Inc. (MSCI) and Standard & Poor's Financial Services, LLC (S&P). Neither MSCI, S&P, their affiliates, nor any of their third party providers ("GICS Parties") makes any representations or warranties, express or implied, with respect to GICS or the results to be obtained by the use thereof, and expressly disclaim all warranties, including warranties of accuracy, completeness, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The GICS Parties shall not have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of such damages.

MSCI makes no express or implied warranties or representations and shall have no liability whatsoever with respect to any MSCI data contained herein. The MSCI data may not be further redistributed or used to create indices or financial products. This report is not approved or produced by MSCI.

This summary represents the views of the portfolio managers as of 31 Mar 2022. Those views may change, and the Fund disclaims any obligation to advise investors of such changes. For the purpose of determining the Fund's holdings, securities of the same issuer are aggregated to determine the weight in the Fund. The holdings mentioned above comprised the following percentages of the Fund's total net assets as of 31 Mar 2022: CrowdStrike Holdings Inc 5.0%, Airbnb Inc 6.6%, Nvidia Corp 6.4%, Visa Inc 4.8%, MercadoLibre Inc 6.3%, Sea Ltd 6.2%, Meituan 5.0%, Bilibili Inc 2.2%, Wuxi Biologics Cayman Inc 2.5%, Yandex NV 0.0%, Unity Software Inc 5.0%, Snap Inc 5.0%, Mastercard Inc 1.1%. As of 3 Mar 2022, Russian holdings are valued at zero. Securities named in the Commentary, but not listed here are not held in the Fund as of the date of this report. Portfolio holdings are subject to change without notice and are not intended as recommendations of individual securities. All information in this report, unless otherwise indicated, includes all classes of shares (except performance and expense ratio information) and is as of the date shown in the upper right hand corner. This material does not constitute investment advice.

Attribution is used to evaluate the investment management decisions which affected the portfolio's performance when compared to a benchmark index. Attribution is not exact, but should be considered an approximation of the relative contribution of each of the factors considered.

This material is provided for informational purposes without regard to your particular investment needs and shall not be construed as investment or tax advice on which you may rely for your investment decisions. Investors should consult their financial and tax adviser before making investments in order to determine the appropriateness of any investment product discussed herein.

Operating profit is the net income derived from a company's primary or core business operations.

Artisan Partners Funds offered through Artisan Partners Distributors LLC (APDLLC), member FINRA. APDLLC is a wholly owned broker/dealer subsidiary of Artisan Partners Holdings LP. Artisan Partners Limited Partnership, an investment advisory firm and adviser to Artisan Partners Funds, is wholly owned by Artisan Partners Holdings LP.

© 2022 Artisan Partners. All rights reserved.

