



Artisan Select Equity Fund

QUARTERLY
Commentary

Investor Class: ARTNX | Advisor Class: APDNX | Institutional Class: APHNX

As of 31 December 2024

Investment Process

We seek to invest in high-quality, undervalued companies with strong balance sheets and shareholder-oriented management teams.

Undervaluation

Determining the intrinsic value of a business is the heart of our research process. Intrinsic value represents the amount that a buyer would pay to own a company's future cash flows. We seek to invest at a significant discount to our estimate of the intrinsic value of a business.

Business Quality

We seek to invest in companies with histories of generating strong free cash flow, improving returns on capital and strong competitive positions in their industries.

Financial Strength

We believe that investing in companies with strong balance sheets helps to reduce the potential for capital risk and provides company management the ability to build value when attractive opportunities are available.

Shareholder-Oriented Management

Our research process attempts to identify management teams with a history of building value for shareholders.

Team Overview

Our team has worked together for many years and has implemented a consistent and disciplined investment process. Our team is organized by geographic regions, but within those regions we are generalists who look across all industries. We believe this model enables our analysts to become broad thinkers and gain critical insight across all economic sectors.

Portfolio Management



Daniel J. O'Keefe
Portfolio Manager (Lead)
Managing Director



Michael J. McKinnon, CFA
Portfolio Manager
Managing Director

Investment Results (%)

As of 31 December 2024	Average Annual Total Returns						
	QTD	YTD	1 Yr	3 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	Inception
Investor Class: ARTNX	-1.36	15.67	15.67	6.56	—	—	11.35
Advisor Class: APDNX	-1.32	15.76	15.76	6.66	—	—	11.45
Institutional Class: APHNX	-1.33	15.85	15.85	6.71	—	—	11.51
S&P 500® Index	2.41	25.02	25.02	8.94	—	—	17.11

Source: Artisan Partners/S&P. Returns for periods less than one year are not annualized. Class inception: Investor (28 February 2020); Advisor (28 February 2020); Institutional (28 February 2020).

Expense Ratios (% Gross/Net)	ARTNX	APDNX	APHNX
Annual Report 30 Sep 2024 ^{1,2}	3.12/1.25	3.83/1.15	1.18/1.10
Prospectus 30 Sep 2023 ^{1,2}	3.18/1.26	3.67/1.16	1.35/1.11

¹Net expenses reflect a contractual expense limitation agreement in effect through 31 Jan 2026. ²See prospectus for further details.

Past performance does not guarantee and is not a reliable indicator of future results. Investment returns and principal values will fluctuate so that an investor's shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Current performance may be lower or higher than that shown. Call 800.344.1770 for current to most recent month-end performance. Performance may reflect agreements to limit a Fund's expenses, which would reduce performance if not in effect.



Market Overview

Another quarter, another smashing outperformance for US technology stocks. The only thing we can add to what we have said ad nauseam for the past several years is this: Yes, but even more so.

The growth stocks in the S&P 500® Index returned multiples of the overall market. There are a number of ways to look at this. Perhaps the easiest is to look at the broader S&P 500® Index performance of 25% compared to the Russell 1000® Value Index return of 14%. In the past 24 years, only four times before has the performance spread between the two indices reached double digits—and all four have occurred since 2020.

Driving all of this, of course, are US tech companies. All five of the index's best performing stocks for the year and the quarter were US tech companies—Tesla, NVIDIA, Amazon, Broadcom and Apple. Among the top 10 for both the quarter and the year, US tech accounted for 8 of them. The outperformance of US tech has led it to comprise a record share of the S&P 500® Index, and, of course, its returns. In 2022, 2023 and 2024, the Magnificent Seven accounted for 55% to 63% of the S&P 500® Index's total return. Stripping out the Magnificent Seven from the S&P 500® Index in 2024 leaves the return at about 10%. Notably, this is nearly 300bps lower than the return of the Russell 1000® Value Index and even lower than the performance of the stodgy Dow Jones Industrial Average.

Exhibit 1: Market Capitalization of Largest 7 Companies in S&P 500® Index



Source: FactSet/JPMAM. As of 30 Nov 2024.

So, we know that overall, the non-Magnificent Seven share prices have lagged terribly over the past several years. But how have these companies done on an earnings, rather than a stock market, perspective? Exhibit 2 shows earnings growth of the Magnificent Seven from 2019 through 2023. (2024 numbers are not yet available.)

Exhibit 2: S&P 500® Index Constituent Earnings

Company Earnings	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	4-Year CAGR
Alphabet Inc. Class A	34,343	40,269	76,033	59,972	73,795	21%
Facebook	18,485	29,146	39,370	23,200	39,098	21%
Tesla	(870)	690	5,524	12,583	14,999	NA
Amazon	11,588	21,331	33,364	(2,722)	30,425	27%
Microsoft	39,240	44,281	61,271	72,738	72,361	17%
Apple	55,256	57,411	94,680	99,803	96,995	15%
NVIDIA	4,141	2,796	4,332	9,752	4,368	1%
Total Magnificent Seven	162,183	195,924	314,574	275,326	332,041	20%
All Other	1,125,610	694,726	1,556,909	1,414,037	1,458,377	7%
Total S&P 500® Index	1,287,793	890,650	1,871,483	1,689,363	1,790,418	9%


Source: FactSet. As of 31 Dec 2023.

Over this period, the Magnificent Seven have grown their earnings at an average of +20% per year. This is nearly 3X higher than the earnings growth for the other companies in the S&P 500® Index. From where we stand, it is pretty clear that the fundamentals have certainly justified outperformance.

The question is by how much, for how long and at what price. But investing is always a forward-looking exercise, and the Magnificent Seven companies currently trade at an average PE multiple of 39X—a significant premium to the 25X multiple for the broader index. For these stocks to continue to outperform, they will need to deliver this level of outsized growth into the future. Possible? Certainly. Probable? We shall see.

Clearly, a lot of investors believe that the US stock market is headed higher from here. Indeed, the number of true believers may be at an all-time high.

Exhibit 3: Share of US Households Expecting Higher Stock Prices in 12 Months (Percent of Respondents)



Source: Conference Board/Bloomberg/JPMAM. As of 30 Nov 2024.

Perhaps this reflects a rational expectation that the economy will strengthen considerably under the new Trump administration. Or that interest rates will fall considerably. Maybe. There are certainly valid arguments to be made as to why the economy, all else being equal, should do better under Trump than Biden. But there are also reasons why, regardless of who is president, the US and the global economy face real challenges. A few big issues come to mind: unprecedented debt levels, unsustainable deficit spending, risk of high rates from uncontrolled debt and deficits. We could go on.

Portfolio Discussion

Our best performers for the quarter were Charles Schwab, Heidelberg Materials and Alphabet.

Charles Schwab's share price recovered after a weak Q3 performance. Recall that Schwab's economics have been pressured by higher interest rates, which incited account holders to move their cash off Schwab's balance sheet and into higher yielding securities. This cash exodus has been a major headwind to Schwab's earnings power. The company's most recent disclosures show that the balance sheet cash trends have stabilized, signaling that this headwind might turn into a tailwind. We believe it will.

Heidelberg's share price rose 22% in local currency and 14% in US dollar terms. Results reported during the quarter were fine. Revenue was up a little; profit was up a little. The company has managed very

well through COVID-19, high energy prices in Europe and generally difficult construction markets on the continent. The company also announced an acquisition of a US cement company, which will bolster its position here in the States. The valuation looks reasonable. Heidelberg's share price has surged over the past couple of years. In our opinion, much of this is recovery from an absurd valuation. European investors seemed to believe CO2-intensive cement businesses would cease to exist as a result of a net-zero economy. It is becoming clear that net zero will not happen in our lifetimes, if ever. European investors seem to be willing to invest in cement again, judging by the revaluation of Heidelberg over the past couple of years. It also seems there is some expectation that Heidelberg might crystallize the value of its US cement and aggregates business. Were that asset trading in the US, it would probably command a multiple double that of Heidelberg's current 11X earnings multiple.

Alphabet's stock gained 14% during the quarter. Last quarter, we wrote about how the antitrust case against Alphabet weighed on its share price. It appears that investors are less concerned about this case because it is weak (in our view) and perhaps because they view the incoming Trump administration as less hostile to Alphabet's position. At any rate, the stock probably got too cheap last quarter; its business fundamentals are strong, and the share price this quarter reflected that.

Our three worst performers this quarter were Elevance, Samsung Electronics and Alibaba.

Elevance took a couple of blows this quarter. First, it warned that its Medicaid earnings would come in below expectations this year. The Medicaid business has been in the spotlight as a result of COVID-19. Medicaid rolls filled up during the pandemic, but then rolls started to come down as enrollees lost eligibility when the economy began to normalize. This has made estimating the severity and health trends of the remaining population difficult. So far this year, cost trends have been much worse than expected and are out of line with Elevance's approved rate structure. Margins in the Medicaid business, therefore, will be down this year, and overall profits are likely to be flat. We believe this is a temporary situation. State Medicaid programs are legally required to pay actuarially sound rates to the providers of Medicaid services, such as Elevance. Rates are expected, therefore, to move upward over the next 12 to 18 months, restoring Elevance's margins to a more normal level.

The second issue for Elevance is investor sentiment. A mentally deranged young man murdered a top executive of UnitedHealthcare, the largest health insurer in the country. This led to an Internet frenzy of vicious, inaccurate and, frankly, deplorable criticisms of health insurance companies and their executives. Negative and controversial headlines tend to hurt share prices. This was true of Elevance's stock in the aftermath of this heinous crime. The share price has fallen to extremely attractive levels, trading currently at about 11X earnings. We added to our position during this weakness.

Samsung continued to be a disappointment during the quarter. The shares declined 13% in local currency but were down 23% in US dollar terms. We wrote in some detail about Samsung's issues in our Q3 letter, and, unfortunately, those issues remain largely unresolved. To briefly sum it up, the company is still not fully participating in the lucrative high-end part of the memory market where artificial intelligence (AI) demand is booming.

Perhaps the most disappointing part of Samsung's performance this year is to consider what could have been. As the largest and best capitalized company in the memory semiconductor market, Samsung was perfectly positioned to be at the epicenter of the AI boom. Instead, it inexplicably failed to develop a competitive product and largely missed out on the massive demand created by AI investment.

This can only be described as a massive failure of management and governance. In November, we visited Korea to meet directly with senior management and assess the situation. We came away reassured that they are on a path to addressing the technology issues in their memory business. The company is implementing a redesign for its high bandwidth memory product that should allow it to have a similar (if not superior) product to serve the high-end AI market within the coming year. Importantly, Samsung's key customers are fully aligned to help resolve the issues, since accessing Samsung's massive manufacturing capacity will help unlock critical AI supply chain bottlenecks. In other words, Samsung's customers (including NVIDIA) want it to succeed. Recent comments from NVIDIA's CEO Jensen Huang at the Consumer Electronics Show conference support this conclusion. Like Jensen, we have confidence that Samsung will resolve these technology issues and fully participate in the AI memory market, meaningfully improving its financial performance and share price performance.

Unfortunately, simply addressing the technology issues is no longer enough. The past year's missteps revealed an unacceptable level of governance, which will still need to be addressed. We recently communicated our disappointment directly to management and the board of directors. Since these conversations, we have seen some positive developments—including changes in senior management and a buyback program. We are not alone in our prodding for the company to improve its corporate governance. The Korean government is also pushing for domestic companies to improve corporate governance practices through "Value-Up" programs. We have provided the Samsung board with ideas that should be included in their Value-Up program and look forward to them unveiling their plans in the coming months.

Alibaba's share price decline was primarily giving back the gains from the prior quarter. Recall that all Chinese stocks surged last quarter after the Chinese government unveiled an unanticipated stimulus that temporarily captivated investors. The reality of the undersized stimulus and the challenges facing the Chinese economy eventually prevailed, leading Chinese equities—including Alibaba—to come

back down to earth. Despite our concerns about China's economic outlook, which we outlined in detail in last quarter's letter, shares of Alibaba still represent significant value. The company is a leading player in several attractive market segments. We believe management is doing the right things, such as selling off businesses and returning capital to shareholders. It has made several changes to management and strategy that we expect will return the business to healthy growth over the coming year. In our opinion, the valuation is depressed and does not reflect a fair value for a company with these attributes.

We added no meaningful new names to the portfolio, nor did we exit any positions. However, we did put a hedge in place to protect our exposure to the Chinese RMB.

We believe there is clear risk in the dollar to RMB exchange rate. The rate is fixed by the Chinese government, and that rate is, to some degree, a political decision. At the end of 2019 (i.e., before COVID-19), the exchange rate was about 7 RMB per dollar. Today, it is 7.3 RMB per dollar. China's economic fundamentals have deteriorated significantly since 2019. Growth has stagnated. It's had no COVID recovery. Debt is a major issue after the housing bubble collapse, which wiped out an estimated 4.3% of household net worth. China is geopolitically isolated from the West, and the incoming Trump administration has promised massive tariffs on Chinese imports. None of these developments are good for China's economy and the RMB. Were the RMB freely floated, it would be worth significantly less than where it is fixed today, in our view. This is why we decided to hedge our direct exposure to the RMB during the quarter. We are now protected on our Alibaba investment against any depreciation of the RMB versus the dollar, and we are getting paid a positive spread on our currency position because of the interest rate differential between the dollar and the RMB.

Conclusion

Unsurprisingly, after the massive outperformance of the US market over the past few years, it is harder to find value in the US. That said, with the incoming Trump administration, we expect significant changes to tax, immigration, trade and regulatory policy will have an impact on the economic environment. Trump's extraordinary ascendance from the political ashes is a good reminder for those who believe they know the future. They do not. Humility, hard work and diversification are principles to stick by in any environment. We do not take for granted the trust that you, our clients, have placed in us, and we strive always to earn it.

ARTISAN CANVAS

Timely insights and updates from our investment teams and firm leadership

Visit www.artisancanvas.com

For more information: Visit www.artisanpartners.com | Call 800.344.1770

Carefully consider the Fund's investment objective, risks and charges and expenses. This and other important information is contained in the Fund's prospectus and summary prospectus, which can be obtained by calling 800.344.1770. Read carefully before investing.

Current and future portfolio holdings are subject to risk. The value of portfolio securities selected by the investment team may rise or fall in response to company, market, economic, political, regulatory or other news, at times greater than the market or benchmark index. A portfolio's environmental, social and governance ("ESG") considerations may limit the investment opportunities available and, as a result, the portfolio may forgo certain investment opportunities and underperform portfolios that do not consider ESG factors. Non-diversified portfolios may invest larger portions of assets in securities of a smaller number of issuers and performance of a single issuer may have a greater impact to the portfolio's returns. Securities of small- and medium-sized companies tend to have a shorter history of operations, be more volatile and less liquid and may have underperformed securities of large companies during some periods. Value or growth securities may underperform other asset types during a given period.

S&P 500[®] Index measures the performance of 500 US companies focused on the large-cap sector of the market. Russell 1000[®] Value Index measures the performance of US large-cap companies with lower price/book ratios and forecasted growth values. The Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA), also known as the Dow 30, is a stock market index that tracks 30 large, publicly-owned blue-chip companies trading on the New York Stock Exchange and the NASDAQ. The index(es) are unmanaged; include net reinvested dividends; do not reflect fees or expenses; and are not available for direct investment.

The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS[®]) is the exclusive intellectual property of MSCI Inc. (MSCI) and Standard & Poor's Financial Services, LLC (S&P). Neither MSCI, S&P, their affiliates, nor any of their third party providers ("GICS Parties") makes any representations or warranties, express or implied, with respect to GICS or the results to be obtained by the use thereof, and expressly disclaim all warranties, including warranties of accuracy, completeness, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The GICS Parties shall not have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of such damages.

The S&P 500 and S&P UBS Leveraged Loan Indices are products of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC ("S&P DJI") and/or its affiliates and has been licensed for use. Copyright © 2025 S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, a division of S&P Global, Inc. All rights reserved. Redistribution or reproduction in whole or in part are prohibited without written permission of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. S&P[®] is a registered trademark of S&P Global and Dow Jones[®] is a registered trademark of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC ("Dow Jones"). None of S&P DJI, Dow Jones, their affiliates or third party licensors makes any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the ability of any index to accurately represent the asset class or market sector that it purports to represent and none shall have any liability for any errors, omissions, or interruptions of any index or the data included therein.

Frank Russell Company ("Russell") is the source and owner of the trademarks, service marks and copyrights related to the Russell Indexes. Russell[®] is a trademark of Frank Russell Company. Neither Russell nor its licensors accept any liability for any errors or omissions in the Russell Indexes and/or Russell ratings or underlying data and no party may rely on any Russell Indexes and/or Russell ratings and/or underlying data contained in this communication. No further distribution of Russell Data is permitted without Russell's express written consent. Russell does not promote, sponsor or endorse the content of this communication.

This summary represents the views of the portfolio managers as of 31 Dec 2024. Those views may change, and the Fund disclaims any obligation to advise investors of such changes. For the purpose of determining the Fund's holdings, securities of the same issuer are aggregated to determine the weight in the Fund. These holdings comprise the following percentages of the Fund's total net assets (including all classes of shares) as of 31 Dec 2024: Alphabet Inc 5.8%, Heidelberg Materials AG 5.3%, The Charles Schwab Corp 5.3%, Elevance Health Inc 4.3%, Samsung Electronics Co Ltd 3.2%, Alibaba Group Holding Ltd 3.0%. Securities named in the Commentary, but not listed here are not held in the Fund as of the date of this report. Portfolio holdings are subject to change without notice and are not intended as recommendations of individual securities. All information in this report, unless otherwise indicated, includes all classes of shares (except performance and expense ratio information) and is as of the date shown in the upper right hand corner. This material does not constitute investment advice.

Attribution is used to evaluate the investment management decisions which affected the portfolio's performance when compared to a benchmark index. Attribution is not exact, but should be considered an approximation of the relative contribution of each of the factors considered.

This material is provided for informational purposes without regard to your particular investment needs and shall not be construed as investment or tax advice on which you may rely for your investment decisions. Investors should consult their financial and tax adviser before making investments in order to determine the appropriateness of any investment product discussed herein.

Price-to-Earnings (P/E) is a valuation ratio of a company's current share price compared to its per-share earnings. **Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR)** is the year-over-year average growth rate of an investment over a period of time. It is calculated by taking the nth root of the total percentage growth rate, where n is the number of years in the period being considered. **Earnings power** is a figure that telegraphs a business's ability to generate profits over the long term, assuming all current operational conditions generally remain constant. **Magnificent Seven (M7)** is a term used to describe large US companies: Apple, Amazon, Alphabet, Tesla, NVIDIA, Microsoft and Meta.

Artisan Partners Funds offered through Artisan Partners Distributors LLC (APDLLC), member FINRA. APDLLC is a wholly owned broker/dealer subsidiary of Artisan Partners Holdings LP. Artisan Partners Limited Partnership, an investment advisory firm and adviser to Artisan Partners Funds, is wholly owned by Artisan Partners Holdings LP.

© 2025 Artisan Partners. All rights reserved.

