



Investment Process Highlights

Our investment approach is based on thematic idea generation, a systematic framework for analyzing companies and proactive risk management. Utilizing this approach, we seek to construct a focused portfolio designed to maximize alpha while limiting downside risk over the long term.

Thematic Idea Generation

We believe a key element in alpha generation is finding areas where our views on industry fundamentals differ from consensus estimates. In this pursuit, we seek to identify inflections in multi-year trends which may be caused by changes in supply/demand dynamics, societal behavior, market conditions, technology, laws/regulations and business models, among other variables. We believe these inflections are often misunderstood by market participants, and can lead to powerful re-ratings of industries and companies. Identifying themes helps us develop a focused universe of companies to analyze more thoroughly.

Systematic Analytical Framework

We apply a systematic framework for analyzing companies across sectors and themes, creating a repeatable and methodical decision-making process. Our proprietary company models focus on multi-year earnings power differentiation, expected outcome scenario analysis, return on invested capital and discounted cash flow valuations. Visual outputs are then produced through our internally developed technology solutions, allowing us to consistently evaluate positions across the portfolio.


Proactive Risk Management

We incorporate risk management into all stages of our investment process. Metrics evaluated include crowding, correlation, volatility, stress tests, liquidity, factor analysis and macro drivers, all of which inform portfolio construction and position sizing. We also use various instruments, such as options, in an effort to magnify alpha and minimize downside.

Team Leadership

The investment team applies the same approach to thematic idea generation and fundamental company analysis that Portfolio Manager Chris Smith has honed throughout his career. Research analysts are sector specialists with deep knowledge of their coverage areas. Our process blends a collaborative team mentality with individual accountability.

Portfolio Management



Christopher Smith
Portfolio Manager

Investment Results (% USD)

As of 30 September 2021	Average Annual Total Returns						
	QTD	YTD	1 Yr	3 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	Inception ¹
Composite — Gross	2.32	11.08	27.44	21.18	—	—	26.44
Composite — Net	2.06	10.26	26.20	20.00	—	—	25.21
S&P 500® Index	0.58	15.92	30.01	15.98	—	—	16.46

Annual Returns (% USD) 12 months ended 30 September

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Composite — Gross	—	35.10	13.67	22.92	27.44

Source: Artisan Partners/S&P. Returns for periods less than one year are not annualized. ¹Composite inception: 1 May 2017.

Past performance does not guarantee and is not a reliable indicator of future results. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance shown. Composite performance has been presented in both gross and net of investment management fees. The Strategy's investments in initial public offerings (IPOs) made a material contribution to performance. IPO investments may contribute significantly to a small portfolio's return, an effect that will generally decrease as assets grow. IPO investments may be unavailable in the future.

Investment Risks: Investments will rise and fall with market fluctuations and investor capital is at risk. Investors investing in strategies denominated in non-local currency should be aware of the risk of currency exchange fluctuations that may cause a loss of principal. These risks, among others, are further described near the back of this document, which should be read in conjunction with this material.



"I've learned many things from him [George Soros], but perhaps the most significant is that it's not whether you're right or wrong that's important, but how much money you make when you're right and how much you lose when you're wrong." - Stanley Druckenmiller

In the third quarter, the Antero Peak Strategy returned 2.06% (net) while the S&P 500® Index returned 0.58%. We are excited about the current portfolio as we head into the fourth quarter and into next year. We believe it is composed of companies set up to both grow faster and revise earnings estimates to a far greater degree than the index in 2022 and 2023. We will detail this more in our fourth quarter letter to follow.

In this letter, we'll address a process topic that we find thought-provoking and get asked about frequently—how we think about the interplay of hit rate and payoff within the portfolio and its impacts on our process and culture.

We often hear the batting average (or "hit rate") baseball analogy in an investing context as something along the following lines—"if you can be right more than 50% of the time, you will be successful." This is a casually accepted truism in our industry. Yet in practice, this concept is incomplete at best and flat wrong in many respects. We have given this idea a great deal of attention in creating both our investment and risk management processes, and we take a different stance. Here, we will share some of our thoughts on this topic, as we think there are strong mathematical grounds that demonstrate the benefits of our process and culture—which is much more focused on "payoff" versus "batting average," as Mr. Druckenmiller's quote would suggest. Analytics has changed baseball significantly in the past decade—out with batting average and in with on-base plus slugging, or OPS—why can't it have the same effect on our industry?

We believe our process structured around a focused portfolio requires an exceptionally high level of upfront diligence and ongoing analyst focus. The intent of this approach is to maximize payoff—the profitability of relative winners to relative losers—and optimize sizing, with hit rate a lesser priority. We emphasize broad-based, large, industry-wide inflections that can lead to significant earnings differentiation and sustained changes in valuation multiples. This stands in marked contrast to many widely employed processes that focus on lower concentration and much less tracking error relative to their benchmarks.

Our process leads to higher concentration, and just as important, it leads to far less patience for us to remain flat footed with losing positions! This may contribute to higher turnover, but we believe cutting losers is mathematically just as important as sizing up winners. Forgoing this lever in your process will dilute the payoff ratio significantly, and thus the alpha potential.

In essence, our process places us in an ongoing state of drawing out our true level of differentiation and conviction, which we believe leads to better research and potentially higher payoffs. It's this focus that can be observed in our results—annualized excess returns of more than 900bps relative to the S&P® 500 Index. We would also like to make note that while a high payout ratio and more concentration require a higher level of focus, diligence and risk management, they do not require elevated volatility or excess overall risk. Again, this is reflected in our track record through a consistently lower standard deviation than the S&P® 500 Index and a downside capture of just 77%.

Laying Out the Math

Defined below are some simple but important items when deconstructing a portfolio's performance.

$$\text{Excess Return} = \text{Hit Rate} * \text{Avg. Profit on Winners} + (1 - \text{Hit Rate}) * \text{Avg. Losses on Losers}$$

$$\text{Hit Rate \%} = \frac{\text{Positions that Beat the Benchmark}}{\text{Total Positions}} \quad \text{Payoff} = \frac{\text{Profit on Winners Relative to Index}}{\text{Losses on Losers Relative to Index}}$$

Observing the interplay of these variables is revealing. Most portfolios, regardless of how many analysts are on staff, have batting averages around 50%—with the top tier around 55%. This implies a remarkably tight band and sheds light on the natural efficiency of the stock market in aggregate. Payoff levels, in contrast, demonstrate far wider variation from the mean, while having a far greater impact on returns for an unlevered portfolio with reasonable volatility.

For a portfolio with single-name relative volatility of around 10%, the lack of batting average sensitivity that annual returns have is striking and perhaps nonintuitive. In the example laid out in Exhibit 1, an equally weighted portfolio moving from an industry average hit rate (~50%) to best-in-class (~55%) generates just 100bps of additional alpha. On the other hand, improving the payoff from average to top tier has 5X the impact on excess returns.

Exhibit 1: Illustrative Sensitivity of Hit Rate and Payoff

Excess return potential by increasing hit rate and payoff percentage


Hit Rate %	Payoff %						
	80%	100%	120%	140%	160%	180%	200%
50%	-1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	2.0%	3.0%	4.0%	5.0%
51%	-0.8%	0.2%	1.2%	2.2%	3.3%	4.3%	5.3%
52%	-0.6%	0.4%	1.4%	2.5%	3.5%	4.6%	5.6%
53%	-0.5%	0.6%	1.7%	2.7%	3.8%	4.8%	5.9%
54%	-0.3%	0.8%	1.9%	3.0%	4.0%	5.1%	6.2%
55%	-0.1%	1.0%	2.1%	3.2%	4.3%	5.4%	6.5%

Excess Returns

Source: Artisan Partners. For illustrative purposes only. Excess returns based on incremental increases in hit rate and payoff percentages. Based on an equally weighted portfolio with average single name volatility of 10% from the benchmark.

Exhibit 2: Illustrative Impact of Moving from Average to Top Tier

Improving Payoff % to best-in-class would increase excess returns 5X more than improving to best-in-class Hit Rate %



Source: Artisan Partners. For illustrative purposes only. Excess returns for hit rate based on moving from average (50%) to top tier (55%). Excess returns for payoff percentage based on moving from 100% to 200%. Based on an equally weighted portfolio with average single name volatility of 10% from the benchmark.

Because of this, we incorporate an unemotional and ruthless approach that recognizes some simple truths. First, we are going to be wrong often. The unemotional acceptance of this fact has a profound impact on our process and intellectual honesty. We believe we can significantly amplify our returns by recognizing this early on. While seemingly simple, executing this effectively requires a unique, analytically disciplined operating culture of humbleness and self-awareness that we've worked very hard to build and maintain. Second, we are also going to be right a lot, and maximizing these instances with aggressive sizing can generate substantial alpha. The combination of these factors is a powerful driver of excess returns in a portfolio, far more powerful than what most focus on: hit rate.

With this as context, let's also consider some common investment process descriptions of our peers and some constraints that exist within the industry. We frequently hear industry lingo such as knowing companies or management teams better than everyone else—we view this as a requirement of the job and not an edge. Another is buying stocks with significant upside to intrinsic value—again a requirement of the job and not an edge. Others include low turnover, balanced sector exposure to benchmark, lower tracking error, etc. The list goes on and on.

Consider these statements in the context of the simple analysis already laid out. Empirically, batting average is highly likely to be around 50%, so the drive toward very low turnover handcuffs the manager's ability to aggressively cut losing positions, which depresses the payoff ratio. At the same time, the drive for balance and fear of volatility further lead to under capitalization on the best ideas, yet again pressuring the payoff ratio.

Indeed, these common constraints remove many things that appear to us mandatory for success. It is no surprise in this analysis that if all these rules are followed, even an army of the best analysts is likely to outperform the benchmark only slightly before fees. Paradoxically, these concepts in total for the most part have led to mediocrity in the industry. Said another way, if a team does great research (knows their companies well), has very low turnover and/or low tracking error (balanced sectors, less concentration, etc.) and even achieves an above average hit rate, its excess returns will probably be somewhere around the equity fund average fee level of 80bps. It's just math.

We view this as strong evidence that we are deploying our most scarce resource well, which we have often said is our time. Our process aims our time and attention naturally toward the largest investments, but also the investments that are not working. We are constantly assessing if we are wrong. We have systems in place to alert us to negative revisions in our fundamental analysis, which allows us to reduce risks earlier in areas where there is adverse "change." We know what matters for an investment, and we track those areas intensely.

Maximizing payoff permeates through our process and our ability to build an all-weather portfolio. First, we are extremely focused on not losing money and are continuously probing conviction levels on losing positions, especially when they are large but even when they are small. It has been very rare so far for us to suffer a significant loss on a single position. The systematic structure of our process helps us do this as it aims to remove as much emotion as possible—earnings differentiation and expected outcome are research outputs. As our research outputs change our perspective changes, and our process leaves little room for us to defend a losing position without sound analytic reasoning. Second, we aim to employ a symmetric process.

We are constantly assessing the sizing of winning positions as our thesis plays out, as well as whether we are maximizing our research. Ultimately, all aspects of our process—from our culture to our day-to-day research—are geared towards this outcome, and we are happy that our results reflect what we fundamentally believe drives investment results.

Importantly, if we maintain focus on analytical differentiation and employ a robust risk management process, there is no mandatory tradeoff that results in elevated risk. We have produced positive excess returns (Exhibit 3) with our approach of elevated concentration, high sector active weightings and active portfolio management, without exhibiting greater volatility or drawdowns.

Exhibit 3: Summary Risk Statistics

As of 30 September 2021

	Annualized Return ITD	Std Dev	Sharpe Ratio	Max Drawdown	Beta
Antero Peak Strategy	25.6%	15.2%	1.6	-14.5%	0.9
S&P 500® Index	16.5%	15.9%	1.0	-19.6%	0.0

Source: Antero Peak Group/S&P. Representative account and Composite inception: 1 May 2017. Risk and return statistics from 1 May 2017 to 30 Sep 2021 based on Antero Peak Composite net returns. Net performance shown for a representative account managed in the Antero Peak Strategy. **Past performance does not guarantee and is not a reliable indicator of future results.**

We think recognition of this simple framework has served us well and has had a big impact on our operating culture that we continue to refine and evolve.

Summary

As we said last quarter, economic growth is decelerating, the stock picking environment is improving, and we expect to see our portfolio materially outpace and out earn the S&P 500® Index on fundamental metrics. In the context of the Stanley Druckenmiller statement we referenced, we aim to maximize our research efforts through an obsessive operating culture of optimizing the payoff ratio, which we think is one of our most effective tools to generate high risk-adjusted alpha. Our underlying process remains unchanged, and we remain committed to finding inflection points that can lead to accelerations in revenue and earnings. We also seek differentiation vs. consensus and thesis duration that can lead to more sustainable ROIC growth and multiple expansion. We think this combination of rigor and culture gives us a high probability of achieving investment success.

ARTISAN CANVAS

Timely insights and updates from our investment teams and firm leadership

Visit www.artisancanvas.com

Investment Risks: A non-diversified portfolio may invest a larger portion of assets in securities of a smaller number of issuers and performance of a single issuer may affect overall portfolio performance greater than in a diversified portfolio. The portfolio's use of derivative instruments may create additional leverage and involve risks different from, or greater than, the risks associated with investing in more traditional investments. High portfolio turnover may adversely affect returns due to increased transaction costs and creation of additional tax consequences. Securities of small- and medium-sized companies tend to have a shorter history of operations, be more volatile and less liquid and may have underperformed securities of large companies during some periods. International investments involve special risks, including currency fluctuation, lower liquidity, different accounting methods and economic and political systems, and higher transaction costs. These risks typically are greater in emerging markets. Investors investing in strategies denominated in non-local currency should be aware of the risk of currency exchange fluctuations that may cause a loss of principal. These risks, among others, are further described in Artisan Partners Form ADV, which is available upon request.

Unless otherwise indicated, the Artisan Strategy characteristics relate to that of an investment composite or a representative account managed within a composite. It is intended to provide a general illustration of the investment strategy and considerations used by Artisan Partners in managing that strategy. Individual accounts may differ, at times significantly, from the reference data shown due to varying account restrictions, fees and expenses, and since-inception time periods, among others. Where applicable, this information is supplemental to, and not to be construed with, a current or prospective client's investment account information. References to individual security performance relate to a representative account in the composite. Individual holding periods may differ.

This commentary represents the views of the manager as of 30 Sep 2021 and do not necessarily represent those of Artisan Partners. The views and opinions expressed are based on current market conditions, which will fluctuate and those views are subject to change without notice. While the information contained herein is believed to be reliable, there is no guarantee to the accuracy or completeness of any statement in the discussion. Any forecasts contained herein are for illustrative purposes only and are not to be relied upon as advice or interpreted as a recommendation.

The discussion of portfolio holdings does not constitute a recommendation of any individual security. For a list of the top and bottom contributors to return for the strategy, refer to the Contributors to Return chart. Attribution is used to evaluate the investment management decisions which affected the portfolio's performance when compared to a benchmark index. Attribution and Contribution are not exact, but should be considered an approximation of the relative contribution of each of the factors considered.

Theme classifications are at the sole discretion of the team. Themes and constituents are as of the date indicated and are subject to change. Certain holdings have been reclassified subsequent to initial investment, which has impacted theme performance during the period. Portfolio sector classifications are defined by the investment team based on GICS.

The S&P 500® ("Index") is a product of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC ("S&P DJI") and/or its affiliates and has been licensed for use. Copyright © 2021 S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, a division of S&P Global, Inc. All rights reserved. Redistribution or reproduction in whole or in part is prohibited without written permission of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. S&P® is a registered trademark of S&P Global and Dow Jones® is a registered trademark of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC ("Dow Jones"). None of S&P DJI, Dow Jones, their affiliates or third party licensors makes any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the ability of any index to accurately represent the asset class or market sector that it purports to represent and none shall have any liability for any errors, omissions, or interruptions of any index or the data included therein.

Portfolio statistics are obtained from various data sources and intended to provide a general view of the portfolio, or Index, at a point in time. Artisan Partners excludes outliers when calculating portfolio characteristics and may use data from a related security to calculate statistics if information is unavailable for a particular security. Exposure Pct Assets represents the portfolio's exposures based on the economic value of investments (including delta-adjusting options exposures). Delta-adjusted options exposure is a measure of the market exposure created by the options and accounts for the sensitivity of options to changes in price of the underlying security. In comparison, measuring the exposure of an option at the market value of the option or notional value can understate or overstate, respectively, the economic exposure and risk. This estimate of portfolio exposure is only an approximation of the portfolio at a point in time.

Securities referenced may not be representative of all portfolio holdings. Securities of the same issuer are aggregated to determine a holding's portfolio weight. Portfolio statistics calculations exclude outlier data and certain securities which lack applicable attributes, such as private securities. Artisan Partners may substitute information from a related security if unavailable for a particular security. This material is as of the date indicated and is subject to change without notice. Totals may not sum due to rounding.

Net-of-fees composite returns were calculated using the highest model investment advisory fees applicable to portfolios within the composite. Fees may be higher for certain pooled vehicles and the composite may include accounts with performance-based fees. All performance results are net of commissions and transaction costs, and have been presented gross and net of investment advisory fees. Dividend income is recorded net of foreign withholding taxes on ex-dividend date or as soon after the ex-dividend date as the information becomes available to Artisan Partners. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Performance results for the Index include reinvested dividends and are presented net of foreign withholding taxes but, unlike the portfolio's returns, do not reflect the payment of sales commissions or other expenses incurred in the purchase or sale of the securities included in the indices.

S&P 500® Index measures the performance of 500 US companies focused on the large-cap sector of the market. The index(es) are unmanaged; include net reinvested dividends; do not reflect fees or expenses; and are not available for direct investment.

The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS®) is the exclusive intellectual property of MSCI Inc. (MSCI) and Standard & Poor's Financial Services, LLC (S&P). Neither MSCI, S&P, their affiliates, nor any of their third party providers ("GICS Parties") makes any representations or warranties, express or implied, with respect to GICS or the results to be obtained by the use thereof, and expressly disclaim all warranties, including warranties of accuracy, completeness, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The GICS Parties shall not have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of such damages.

Discounted cash flow (DCF) is a valuation method used to estimate the value of an investment based on its expected future cash flows. **Alpha** is a quantitative measure of the volatility of the portfolio relative to a designated index. A positive alpha of 1.0 means the fund has outperformed its designated index by 1%. Correspondingly, a similar negative alpha would indicate an underperformance of 1%. **Beta** is a measure of the volatility of a security or a portfolio in comparison to the market as a whole. **Drawdown** is a peak-to-trough decline during a specific period for an investment. **Return on Invested Capital (ROIC)** is a measure of how well a company generates cash flow relative to capital invested in the business. **Sharpe Ratio** is a measure of risk-adjusted return — it is the average return earned in excess of the risk-free rate per unit of volatility or total risk. **Standard Deviation** defines how widely returns varied from an average over a given period of time. Higher deviation represents higher volatility.

This material is provided for informational purposes without regard to your particular investment needs. This material shall not be construed as investment or tax advice on which you may rely for your investment decisions. Investors should consult their financial and tax adviser before making investments in order to determine the appropriateness of any investment product discussed herein. In no event shall Artisan Partners have any liability for direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, lost profits) losses or any other damages resulting from the use of this material.

Artisan Partners Limited Partnership (APLP) is an investment adviser registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Artisan Partners UK LLP (APUK) is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and is a registered investment adviser with the SEC. APFL Financial Distribution Services Limited (AP Europe) is authorized and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland. APLP, APUK and AP Europe are collectively, with their parent company and affiliates, referred to as Artisan Partners herein. Artisan Partners is not registered, authorized or eligible for an exemption from registration in all jurisdictions. Therefore, services described herein may not be available in certain jurisdictions. This material does not constitute an offer or solicitation where such actions are not authorized or lawful, and in some cases may only be provided at the initiative of the prospect. Further limitations on the availability of products or services described herein may be imposed.

This material is only intended for investors which meet qualifications as institutional investors as defined in the applicable jurisdiction where this material is received, which includes only *Professional Clients* or *Eligible Counterparties* as defined by the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID) where this material is issued by APUK or AP Europe. This material is not for use by retail investors and may not be reproduced or distributed without Artisan Partners' permission.

In the United Kingdom, issued by APUK, 25 St. James's St., Floor 3, London SW1A 1HA, registered in England and Wales (LLP No. OC351201). Registered office: Reading Bridge House, Floor 4, George St., Reading, Berkshire RG1 8LS. In Ireland, issued by AP Europe, Fitzwilliam Hall, Fitzwilliam Pl, Ste. 202, Dublin 2, D02 T292. Registered office: 70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin 2, D02 R296 (Company No. 637966).

Australia: This material is directed at wholesale clients only and is not intended for, or to be relied upon by, private individuals or retail investors. Artisan Partners Australia Pty Ltd is a representative of APLP (ARBN 153 777 292) and APUK (ARBN 603 522 649). APLP and APUK are respectively regulated under US and UK laws which differ from Australian laws and are exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license under the Australian Corporations Act 2001 in respect to financial services provided in Australia.

Canada: This material is distributed in Canada by APLP and/or Artisan Partners Distributors LLC, which conduct activities in Canada under exemptions from the dealer, portfolio manager and investment fund manager registration requirements of applicable Canadian securities laws. This material does not constitute an offer of services in circumstances where such exemptions are not available. APLP advisory services are available only to investors that qualify as "permitted clients" under applicable Canadian securities laws.

© 2021 Artisan Partners. All rights reserved.

For Institutional Investors — Not for Onward Distribution



Antero Peak Strategy

Contributors to Return (% USD)

As of 30 September 2021

Top Contributors	Average Weight	Contribution to Return	Ending Weight
Aon PLC	3.37	0.52	4.40
Atlassian Corp PLC	0.76	0.47	1.51
ServiceNow Inc	3.95	0.39	4.18
Microsoft Corp	9.54	0.35	7.67
CBRE Group Inc	2.62	0.34	2.83

Bottom Contributors	Average Weight	Contribution to Return	Ending Weight
Fidelity National Information Services Inc	1.45	-0.25	1.59
S&P Global Inc	-0.44	-0.24	0.00
Analog Devices Inc	5.71	-0.24	5.80
Expedia Group Inc	0.36	-0.12	0.00
BlackRock Inc	2.93	-0.09	2.92

Source: Artisan Partners/FactSet. Portfolio weights based on the economic value of investments and options are delta-adjusted. Performance is historical and is not a reliable indicator of future results. As of 30 Sep 2021. These investments made the greatest contribution to, or detracted most from, performance during the period based on a representative account within the strategy Composite. Upon request, Artisan will provide: (i) the calculation methodology and/or (ii) a list showing the contribution of each holding to overall performance during the measurement period. Securities of the same issuer are aggregated to determine the weight in the portfolio. % Contribution to Return is calculated by FactSet by multiplying a security's weight in the portfolio by its in portfolio return for the period referenced and does not take into account expenses of the portfolio.